Monitoring and Evaluation Mechanisms in the Mid-Day Meal Scheme: A Policy Review

Parijat Dey
Research Scholar, Kalinga University Raipur CG, INDIA.

ABSTRACT

As one of the world's largest school feeding operations the Mid-Day Meal (MDM) Scheme combats hunger together with malnutrition while boosting school attendance in India. The MDM scheme has successfully enhanced child health and school attendance levels yet its appearance suffers from detection gaps in delivery and service quality standards together with insufficient contextual controls. This research paper performs a thorough assessment of the M&E systems built into the MDM policy structure. Using secondary data including government reports and policy documents besides case studies from Indian states the paper evaluates the present M&E practices by identifying their operational challenges and system weaknesses. The author advocates for service delivery systems which depend on technological infrastructure and decentralized management alongside community involvement for transparent food quality and efficient service procedures. The paper presents policy suggestions to enhance real-time monitoring and grievance management along with accountability systems within the Mid-Day Meal Scheme.

Keywords- Mid-Day Meal Scheme, Monitoring, Evaluation, School Feeding, Accountability, Policy Review.

I.   INTRODUCTION

Through its flagship initiative launched in 1995 the Mid-Day Meal Scheme (MDMS) serves Indian children by delivering free cooked meals to over 118 million daily students and improving their nutrition status. A daily free meal program provides nutritious food to 118 million schoolchildren who rely on the scheme to obtain both healthy nutrition and economic support.

The effectiveness of MDM Scheme especially relies on strong monitoring systems to evaluate its broad reach and operational complexity at national scale. Monitoring and evaluation systems in place check for food quality as well as hygiene standards and delivery speed and ensure beneficiary protection and follow nutrition standards while stopping fund misuse.

Operations of the MDM Scheme struggle to overcome technical problems even after successive modifications because irregular service delivery combines with food quality issues and poorly managed cleanliness standards as well as improper grain distribution. Lack of strong monitoring systems combined with limited community engagement and insufficient feedback is the reason behind these problems.

This document reviews different monitoring and evaluation frameworks which operate under the Mid-Day Meal Scheme. The research examines components which form these mechanisms along with execution procedures and maintenance practices to check their transparency and accountability functions as well as service quality performance at the school level.

1.1 Objective of the Study

The overarching aim of this study is to examine the efficacy, structure, and implementation of monitoring and evaluation (M&E) mechanisms within the Mid-Day Meal (MDM) Scheme in India. Given the scale and importance of the

scheme in addressing child nutrition and school participation, the integrity and responsiveness of its monitoring systems are critical to achieving desired policy outcomes.

Specifically, the study sets out to achieve the following objectives: To review the current monitoring and evaluation mechanisms under the Mid-Day Meal Scheme.

This objective analyzes both the structures and tools alongside procedures established for MDM Scheme monitoring under the national and state and district and school systems. It involves examining:

1. The reporting structures of the scheme operate through MIS along with IVRS and inspection registers.
   - Various stakeholders in the monitoring hierarchy receive their defined roles and responsibilities starting from headmasters up to district education officers and state project directors.
   - Frequency and coverage of field inspections
2. The protocols operate for testing food quality and hygiene standards and following nutritional norms
3. Official guidelines and operational handbooks get a thorough evaluation to determine if current monitoring guidelines are thorough and easy to put into practice and offer complete clarity.
4. The research aims to determine existing monitoring system performance by reviewing current operations.
   - Capturing ground-level realities,
   - Supporting evidence-based decision-making,
   - Ensuring transparency and accountability, and
5. The monitoring system has a role in preventing failures in service delivery events including food wastage and poor quality together with non-delivery incidents.

II.   LITERATURE REVIEW

The critical functions of monitoring and evaluation systems guide the implementation along with sustainability of major welfare programs specifically within India's developing framework. The Mid-Day Meal Scheme (MDMS) developed from serving as a basic nutrition program to becoming an advanced intervention linking education to public health which requires enhanced systematic surveillance and immediate reporting along with autonomous assessment systems. This part evaluates national and international studies about monitoring and evaluation approaches in school feeding operations including a specific analysis of the MDM Scheme in India.

2.1 Importance of Monitoring in School Feeding Programs

School feeding program monitoring systems as emphasized by the World Bank (2018) and UNICEF (2020) serve both safety objectives regarding meal delivery and provide essential feedback to enhance policy development. School feeding outcomes particularly related to food quality and hygiene standards together with coverage rates are higher in countries that decentralized their monitoring framework to operate at a community scale like Brazil and Kenya.

Research by Afridi (2010) showed that transparent monitoring at the local level strengthens Madrasa education scheme operations by minimizing disbursements and improving nutritional outcomes in India. Outcomes from objective field research in Uttar Pradesh showed that School Management Committees (SMCs) improve MDM norm adherence through proper empowerment of these local groups.

2.2 Evolution of M&E Frameworks in India's MDM Scheme

The MDM Scheme started its operations without proper oversight by using school records through local district education officers for reporting purposes. Faulty implementation and corruption incidents within the system triggered authorities to put formal monitoring systems into practice. The MDM Guidelines underwent two revisions and introduced a supervision framework that exists on four levels from national to school administration (2010, revised 2021). The introduction of Management Information Systems combined with Interactive Voice Response Systems enabled authorities to monitor programs and receive automated alerts in real-time.

The implementation of programs at field level faces difficulties because De et al. (2011) in their work for NUEPA found that workers do not follow rules properly and officials manipulate data while technical difficulties remain. School authorities along with frontline workers needed specialized training as per their report.

2.3 Independent Evaluations and Third-Party Assessments

The MDM Scheme received positive evaluations in states with active third-party evaluations according to the Planning Commission's Evaluation Report (2013) because Tamil Nadu Karnataka and Gujarat demonstrated improved hygiene practices and better community involvement and efficient grievance responses. Decision-based monitoring through academic institutions created objective evaluations and improved process credibility while boosting the reporting process's input-output quality.

According to Ramachandran et al. (2015) a significant number of third-party evaluations do not receive proper utilization since they fail to produce meaningful impacts on policy decisions or program redesign efforts. The absence of formal institutions to use evaluation feedback continues as an ongoing challenge.

2.4 Role of Technology in M&E

Solutions based on technological advancements are now widely used to simplify the process of MDM monitoring. Rajaraman and Gupta (2019) studied mobile applications used for reporting school cafeteria meals across Madhya Pradesh and Chhattisgarh. The researchers detected better timeliness as well as enhanced data coverage yet documented that numerous schools operated without smartphones and sufficient network connections along with training their staff in digital policing tools. The digital gap acts as a primary obstacle which obstructs M&E systems within rural areas.

Studies conducted by Akshaya Patra Foundation (2020) and Pratham (2021) established that technology functions best when used alongside strong institutional processes along with trained human resources who provide field-level monitoring which community members play a part in.

2.5 Community Participation and Social Accountability

The foundation of decentralized monitoring depends heavily on community ownershi An active involvement of parents together with local bodies and village education committees leads to better transparency and higher responsiveness according to research. The study conducted by Khera (2011) proved that School Management Committees from Rajasthan and Himachal Pradesh delivered top performance in their duty to monitor food quality and check lunch servings after giving committee members proper training and incentives.

Drèze and Goyal (2003) warn that monitoring done by communities may fail when roles between different stakeholder groups are unclear or when caste and gender divides block access for marginalized groups.

2.6 Gaps in Existing Monitoring Mechanisms

Despite significant policy evolution, the MDM Scheme still faces major monitoring gaps:

- Infrequent inspections, especially in remote regions
- Understaffed district monitoring cells
- Fragmented reporting tools without cross-verification
- Weak grievance redressal systems

The observations mentioned above match conclusions published by both the Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG, 2015) and NITI Aayog (2021) which propose better local-level accountability through enhanced interdepartmental coordination.

III.   METHODOLOGY

The research uses qualitative policy review as its methodology to study the Mid-Day Meal Scheme because this approach best examines public programs like MDMS that result from formal mechanisms alongside ground-based practices. The research method establishes an extensive analysis to study the design and operational performance and receiver experiences of monitoring and evaluation systems throughout Indian state institutions.

3.1 Research Design

The research examines MDM Scheme M&E components by conducting a descriptive-analytical document-based review of their policy architecture and implementation processes. The research uses both pre-existing information from government records and independent assessment results and academic academic publications for data collection and integration and interpretation. Multiple types of data test each other through triangulation to reveal both positive and negative attributes of present-day monitoring systems.

This policy research analyzes the MDM Scheme across multiple regions without any time limitations. The study explores how monitoring structures adjusted throughout history using a method that examines state-specific operations for mutual learning and ideal practice discovery.

3.2 Data Sources

A comprehensive analysis depends on using multiple secondary sources which this study incorporates through various research materials.

a. Official Government Documents

The Ministry of Education issues Annual Work Plans and Budget Reports (AWPBs) that present operational strategies and spending plans together with monitoring goals for the MDM Scheme across all states and union territories. Monitoring happens through assessments from the Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG) that show how weaknesses and non-compliances affect the scheme's implementation framework.

The monitoring operations of the scheme benefit from central and state-level documents which specify both policy requirements and step-by-step implementation guidelines for all responsibilities and procedures.

b. Evaluation Reports and Think Tank Publications

The MDM Scheme undergoes evidence-based performance assessments through independent evaluations performed by members of NITI Aayog, Planning Commission and NUEPA (now NIEPA). Academic institutions or development consultancies from different states commission evaluation studies.

c. Case Studies

Researchers investigated several states through detailed study analysis to understand monitoring processes better.

The monitoring systems and innovation achievements stand out in Tamil Nadu together with Karnataka.

- Chhattisgarh (decentralized, community-driven model), and

The state of Uttar Pradesh faces various hurdles in implementing the MDM Scheme together with its oversight functions.

The included cases feature diverse contexts to show successful implementations as well as current monitoring difficulties.

d. Academic Literature

The study references peer-reviewed journal articles about school feeding programs as well as policy monitoring and public accountability to establish its research foundation on theoretical and empirical evidence.

This research incorporated references from policy documents at PRS Legislative Research and Brookings India and Accountability Initiative.

e. Non-Governmental and Media Sources

The study analyzed Right to Information (RTI) replies to obtain data regarding inspections of safe food delivery systems and food grain delivery times and information on complaints from affected populations. Localized failures and corruption and community responses to monitoring lapses were investigated through investigations by journalists as well as media reports. The research incorporated NGO publications from Akshaya Patra together with Pratham and Right to Food Campaign publications to understand field situation and different monitoring systems.

3.3 Data Analysis

Quality content analysis tools served for analyzing the gathered information. The process involved:

- Thematic categorization of monitoring-related content (e.g., community participation, digital reporting, third-party audits)

The analysis revealed regular patterns and policy discrepancies and regional differences between states.

The analysis consists of checking formal guidelines against reported implementation experiences for determining how the directives match with actual operational practices.

The analysis used triangulation methods to strengthen its findings by comparing official data to independent evaluation results and ground-based reports which increased the research's validity and reliability.

3.4 Limitations

The wide scope of a secondary data-based approach is limited by:

- Lack of recent or comprehensive data in some states due to inconsistent reporting practices
- Potential bias in government self-reporting and under-reporting of failures

The quality along with scope of independent evaluations differs between states.

Although the study contains certain constraints it offers an insightful policy-level evaluation of MDM Scheme monitoring and evaluation systems that can support upcoming field research activities.

IV.   THE MDM SCHEME IMPLEMENTS ITS MONITORING TOGETHER WITH EVALUATION THROUGH VARIOUS INSTITUTIONAL SYSTEMS

4.1 Institutional Structure

The MDM Scheme follows a multi-level system for carrying out its monitoring and evaluation function through:

- National level: Ministry of Education (MoE), National Steering-cum-Monitoring Committee (NSMC)

The MDM Scheme operates through three levels of institutions including State Steering Committees with State Project Directors and State Monitoring Cells.

- District level: District Programme Officers and Education Inspectors
- School level: Headmasters, School Management Committees (SMCs), and teachers

4.2 Tools and Mechanisms

Three main monitoring instruments for MDM comprise:

The school authorities use School Inspection Registers to create daily records.

- Monthly Reports: Submitted to Block and District Officers

Surveillance of MDM's impact is conducted through independent institutions which include universities and NGOs as third-party evaluators.

Schools use the Management Information System (MIS) as an online tool to log and monitor real-time information.

Schools within multiple states utilize IVRS technology to report their meal data through mobile devices.

- Grievance Redressal Systems: Toll-free helplines and complaint boxes

The district officials and nodal officers execute Flying Squads to conduct unexpected inspections at establishments.

V.   KEY ISSUES IN MONITORING AND EVALUATION

The comprehensive policy framework for the Mid-Day Meal (MDM) Scheme has not solved various procedural barriers which reduce the effectiveness of its monitoring and evaluation (M&E) systems. The current performance demonstrates three critical problems between policy-making and field execution and technical constraints and organizational resistance and minimal involvement of local participants. Secondary data review produced essential findings alongside the results from state-level assessments which are detailed in the following sub-sections.

5.1 Fragmented Implementation and Inconsistent Reporting

Fundamental operational guidelines for the MDM Scheme are established by the Ministry of Education through central administration. States and districts implement and monitor MDM Scheme guidelines differently from one another which produces multiple disjointed report systems alongside variable procedures.

Real-time digital data collection through systems that automatically gather reports operates in the states of Karnataka, Tamil Nadu and Gujarat but numerous other states still use manual processes prone to human errors and reporting delays and data tampering. The schools provide aggregated data retrospectively during such instances which weakens both the speed and the precision of monitoring activities.

States that maintain different data formats from one another create problems with the cross-jurisdictional comparison of MDM metrics because performance metrics cannot be easily aggregated in a centralized manner. The tracking of progress and identification of high-risk regions becomes difficult for policymakers as well as their ability to make timely interventions because of this problem. Standardized reporting templates as well as digital platform interoperability between states do not exist to solve the current situation.

5.2 Limited Capacity of Field Functionaries

The limited capacity along with restricted presence extends as major obstacles for field-level monitoring personnel who handle MDM operations. BEOs together with CRCCs and District MDM Nodal Officers perform the duty of checking food quality, kitchen cleanliness and meal schedules and student attendance. During practical implementation these officials confront multiple restrictions in their work.

The inspected schools surpass the number of employees available as monitoring staff within their assigned areas. Field-level monitoring personnel lack proper transportation services for making regular nor unpredictable site visits.

The officials struggle with overwhelming administrative tasks that do not involve MDM supervision.

- Inadequate training on nutritional norms, health standards, or MDM protocols

The lack of regular monitoring leaves schools unattended for months in rural locations and remote or tribal regions which considerably raises risks for food distribution problems and safety noncompliance. Without sufficient oversight schools have more chances to misuse funds and steal food and show neglect when preparing daily meals.

5.3 Ineffective Use of Technology

The Mid-Day Meal – Management Information System (MDM-MIS) and Interactive Voice Response System (IVRS) represent technology-based monitoring solutions introduced by the Ministry of Education yet they fail to produce consistent optimal outcomes.

Key barriers include:

- Poor internet and mobile network connectivity, particularly in remote districts
- Limited digital literacy among headteachers and school staff responsible for daily updates

Many government schools lack sufficient hardware equipment such as smartphones and computers.

Problems with corresponding digital data to field observations exist because of two main factors: either school staff submits false reports through proxies or because administrators force subordinates to meet their set goals.

A number of educational institutions propagate meal deliveries to prevent inspection and disciplinary measures even though no food distribution occurred. The verification processes show a significant mismatch with data-driven monitoring systems because they fail to confirm inconsistencies. Many districts operate without established IT support systems which are essential for solving technical problems and maintaining data quality standards.

5.4 Poor Community Engagement

Throughout the MDM Scheme decentralization framework School Management Committees (SMCs) and local Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs) function as crucial participants in monitoring activities. These bodies must actively support nutritional activities by examining food preparation methods and checking stock records as well as inspecting cleanliness and reporting service quality.

Various studies together with evaluation reports confirm that states experience weak actual community participation while showing mostly symbolic involvement. The reasons include:

Several SMC members remain unaware about their duties and privileges for conducting MDM monitoring activities.

Staff members involved in MDM provisioning lack proper education about follow the nutritional requirements and food safety protocols as well as the systems to handle customer complaints.

Social rankings along with caste systems create barriers for marginalized groups who want to take part actively in decision-making.

- Absence of financial incentives or accountability for local monitors

SMCs fail to fulfill their objective because they silence or become inactive hence disregarding their capability to create an inexpensive community-based monitoring framework. Many community members avoid confronting their teachers and school cooks because they fear retaliation from them or these teachers hold greater power over them.

5.5 Accountability Gaps and Lack of Timely Feedback

Reports of spoiled food together with food poisoning events and delayed grain supplies fail to trigger timely and effective response procedures from the monitoring bodies. The mechanisms for addressing organizational complaints through toll-free helplines and complaint registers are either insufficiently marketed to stakeholders or weakly established as standard operating procedures.

Common issues include:

- Delayed or no action on complaints

The complainant fails to receive needed follow-up messages regarding their complaint.

- No punitive measures against negligent staff
- Underutilization of social audits or public hearings

The breakdown of trust in the system causes people to stop reporting issues so irregularities continue without remedy. At higher levels of administration few officers possess real-time monitoring systems which track deviations therefore reactive governance becomes standard practice instead of proactive prevention protocols.

Summary Table: Key Challenges in MDM Monitoring

Issue	Key Concerns
Fragmented Implementation	Varied reporting formats, manual data entry, delayed updates
Capacity Constraints	Inadequate field staff, lack of training, limited mobility
Sub-optimal Use of Technology	Connectivity issues, data-entry errors, lack of real-time verification
Weak Community Engagement	Passive SMCs, lack of awareness, social exclusion, poor motivation
Accountability and Grievance Redress	Inaction on complaints, delayed feedback, absence of systemic follow-up

VI.   STATE-LEVEL INNOVATIONS AND BEST PRACTICES

States throughout India have introduced localized monitoring practices while adopting innovative implementation strategies for the Mid-Day Meal (MDM) Scheme which provide constructive insights for other regions. Such innovative approaches to monitoring and evaluation stem from administrative dedication combined with local community involvement together with technological integration which shows proper institutional backing enables efficient M&E systems that include everyone.

6.1 Tamil Nadu: Institutional Excellence and Community Integration

The southern Indian state Tamil Nadu established free school meal programs prior to the launch of the nationwide scheme.

Key innovations include:

A dedicated Directorate of School Nutrition functions as the single entity to oversee the MDM Scheme management throughout the state. The program maintains dedicated attention to tasks alongside smooth coordination while holding people accountable.

The state operates enormous centralized food preparation facilities and automated systems for quality assessment throughout urban areas to provide standardized meals to schools. The meals are hygienically prepared in kitchens that maintain efficient supply lines to schools to lower contamination risks.

Tamil Nadu empowers its SHGs to supervise MDM scheme cooking along with distribution and local site monitoring tasks through self-help groups composed of women. The system increases community involvement thus creating opportunities for income generation with additional benefits for women empowerment within communities.

The state operates structured feedback mechanisms through community scorecards and feedback forms that link received inputs from students parents and teachers directly to policy changes and grievance handling.

6.2 Karnataka: Technological Integration and Public-Private Partnerships

Karnataka's Akshara Dasoha program operating under the Department of Education establishes new standards for transparent data-based governance.

Key practices include:

The state governments work with non-profit organizations through Public-Private Partnerships to let Akshaya Patra Foundation operate professional kitchens that provide real-time data and complete transparency in their community-based meal delivery operations.

Teachers must use mobile applications connected to the state education portal to perform daily updates of attendance records with meal details as well as stock inventories. Real-time status updates about the scheme appear in all schools through this system.

Backed by localized interventions are data gathered through student feedback mechanisms which measure schoolchildren's satisfaction with meals and cleanliness standards in their institutions.

School authorities together with district-level education officers receive data dashboards for overseeing implementation through these dashboards while detecting individual schools that need special attention.

6.3 Chhattisgarh: Grassroots Monitoring through Local Governance

The state of Chhattisgarh applies community oversight and decentralized governance management to achieve effective MDM program oversight through current governmental structures.

Notable features include:

The monitoring tasks for the MDM scheme operate within the responsibilities of both gram panchayats and gram sabhas through Panchayati Raj Institution empowerment. These local bodies inspect both the quality of food as well as kitchen operations and attendance records every month.

The state maintains community kitchen services operated by women-run collectives that serve both to cut school workload and to present fresher local foods that authentically match regional traditions in forested tribal regions.

The MDM Scheme undergoes monthly public audits coordinated by Gram Sabhas to enable villagers to submit complaints and provide suggestions for improvement and examine stock and expenditure records.

The educational institutions must keep transparency boards containing information about the food menu and supply levels together with responsible personnel names and duties.

The presented examples demonstrate that context-sensitive participatory M&E systems supported by technology create accountable efficient resilient monitoring systems.

VII.   RECOMMENDATIONS

This study builds recommendations based on literature findings and state-level practices and field evidence to enhance large-scale monitoring and evaluation systems of the Mid-Day Meal Scheme:

A. Strengthen Digital Monitoring Infrastructure

The monitoring system should expand mobile reporting networks across all districts to enable daily reports from schools about preparation work and attendance records together with inventory updates.

The training must provide necessary digital tool skills to teachers alongside headmasters and kitchen workers materials while also teaching error resolution and showing value in real-time data.

The implementation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) alongside data analytical tools permits the identification of recurring trouble (such as repeated non-reporting and stock issues) which automatically alerts authorities to proceed with investigations of specific susceptible areas. All systems within MDM-MIS along with IVRS and State Education Management Information Systems must integrate their data for national and state-level unified visibility.

B. Decentralize Monitoring to Local Bodies

The system will train both School Management Committees (SMCs) and Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs) by providing monitoring checklists with visual guides to assess kitchen hygiene food quality and recordkeeping practices. The process will receive designated finance allocations for community audits together with food testing and School Management Committee meetings while also ensuring utilization monitoring and reporting systems.

The gram panchayat must conduct monthly evaluation meetings to assess MDM performance alongside grievance recording and action suggestions.

C. Institutionalize Third-Party Audits

Local universities as well as NGOs should perform annual independent evaluations at district levels under the supervision of accredited social audit agencies and similar authorized institutions.

The organization should periodically change its third-party audit teams to achieve impartial judgment as well as reduce risks of local preferences or vendor indifference.

The results from independent audits must be openly published through electronic websites or visible displays at block and district administration facilities as well as public gatherings.

The state should merge audit discoveries into planning sessions combined with performance oversight to initiate required changes.

D. Proactive grievance redressal systems need to be built for both state and district levels.

The establishment of helplines at state- and district-levels should be widely distributed through schools as well as placed in school bags and on transparency boards. State authorities should implement text messaging systems to receive complaints since some regions have fewer smartphone users.

The system requires district-level monitoring dashboards which will display complaint resolution timeframes alongside categorized service issues (including quality, delivery flaws and mismanagement) and designated responsible

parties. The government should offer performance-based awards as incentives for districts which solve grievances promptly and reach improved compliance targets.

E. Build Monitoring Capacity

MDM monitors should operate exclusively at both block and district levels to concentrate entirely on MDM inspections with separate responsibilities from general education officers.

The administration must organize frequent training events for all MDM staff including both SHG members and cooks to improve their knowledge of MDM procedures and nutritional best practices together with hygiene standards. The organization will develop customized toolkits containing local-language handbooks that present checklists alongside visual instructions together with abiding by and rejecting procedures for monitoring.

A program should enable representatives from underperforming school districts to participate in learning sessions with high-performing districts that demonstrate exemplary school monitoring practices.

VIII.   CONCLUSION

The Mid-Day Meal Scheme (MDMS) stands as India's most extensive social welfare program that seeks to fight childhood hunger simultaneously with educational participation for schoolchildren. During the last two decades the MDMS scheme delivered substantial benefits to enhance school enrollment and attendance and retention rates especially for marginalized children from low-income backgrounds. The scheme actively works toward solving child malnutrition and classroom hunger problems throughout both urban and rural areas of India.

The current research shows that tracking mechanisms for the Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) framework of the scheme function poorly because the implementations diverge greatly and the monitoring systems are not fully established. Effective implementation of the multi-tiered monitoring framework developed by the central policy suffers because of structural inefficiencies paired with technological gaps and insufficient institutional capacity and weak community engagement. The monitoring system faces significant weaknesses which are most evident in underdeveloped areas that face problems with delivery infrastructure and lack trained personnel and minimal digital skills.

The scheme reaches new operational heights which require an immediate revision of its M&E systems. The evaluation framework needs to be built from scratch because it requires:

The system should rely on participation through both parent-based empowerment and community-led management. The system needs to use modern technology to gather real-time data via digital platforms for complete transparency. The system displays decentralized operations with strong accountability which enables effective transmission of block- and school-level factual information for decision-making.

The modern monitoring approach should measure quality results and beneficiary satisfaction in addition to process compliance. Monitoring systems require fortification because their effectiveness determines both the long-term success of children-focused programs and their ethical delivery throughout the nation.

To this end, investment in:

- Data integrity and real-time analytics,

The program should invest in training sessions along with capacity development activities for all frontline personnel who will implement the Mid-Day Meal Scheme.

- Grievance redressal and community feedback systems, and
- Institutionalized third-party audits

The MDM Scheme will become an accountable mechanism of inclusive and transparent governance through these investments. The Mid-Day Meal Scheme functions beyond its role as a welfare intervention since it represents a vital element for human capital advancement in India. Building a monitoring ecosystem that is responsive and resilient will help the country achieve meals through the scheme that both improve child health and support societal equity and justice.

REFERENCES

[1]   Ministry of Education. (2022). *Mid-Day Meal Scheme Guidelines*. Government of India.
[2]   Planning Commission. (2013). *Evaluation Study on Mid-Day Meal Scheme*. NITI Aayog.
[3]   Comptroller and Auditor General of India (CAG). (2015). *Performance Audit of the MDM Scheme*.
[4]   Rao, N., & Chatterjee, S. (2021). Monitoring the Monitors: Accountability in the MDM Scheme. *Economic & Political Weekly*, 56(21), 42–48.
[5]   UNICEF India. (2020). *Good Practices in School Feeding Programmes*.
[6]   World Bank. (2018). *Improving Delivery of Social Safety Nets in India*.