Stallion Journal for Multidisciplinary Associated Research Studies
ISSN (Online): 2583-3340
Volume-3 Issue-5 || October 2024 || PP. 1-7 https://doi.org/10.55544/sjmars.3.5.1

Advancements in Biodegradable Materials: Impacts on Soil and Water Quality

Hanumant Singh
Department of Chemistry, S.M.C.C. Govt. College, Aburoad (Raj.) - 307026, INDIA.

Corresponding Author: draburoad@gmail.com

www.sjmars.com || Vol. 3 No. 5 (2024): October Issue

Date of Submission: 02-09-2024 **Date of Acceptance:** 12-09-2024 **Date of Publication:** 01-10-2024

ABSTRACT

This study explores the degradation rates of various biodegradable materials and their impact on soil and water quality under both laboratory and field conditions. The materials examined include polylactic acid (PLA), polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA), starch-based plastics, cellulose-based films, and compostable plastics. Results show significant variation in degradation rates, with cellulose-based films and starch-based plastics exhibiting the fastest degradation, while PLA degraded the slowest, particularly in aquatic environments. In soil, the degradation of biodegradable materials led to increased microbial activity and changes in nutrient levels, particularly nitrogen and phosphorus. However, concerns about nutrient pollution and soil imbalances emerged, particularly with the faster-degrading materials. In aquatic environments, the impact of biodegradable materials on water quality was less pronounced, with only slight changes in dissolved oxygen, nitrate, and phosphate levels. The study emphasizes the need for careful management and monitoring of biodegradable materials to prevent unintended environmental consequences, such as nutrient pollution or microbial imbalances. These findings contribute to the growing understanding of biodegradable materials' real-world performance and their potential to serve as a more sustainable alternative to conventional plastics, while also highlighting the challenges associated with their environmental impact.

Keywords- Plastics, Polylactic Acid, Polyhydroxyalkanoates, Biodegradable, Environment.

I. INTRODUCTION

The world is facing an unprecedented environmental crisis driven in large part by the massive consumption and disposal of plastic materials. Over the past century, plastic has become an integral part of daily life, revolutionizing industries such as packaging, healthcare, agriculture, and technology. Its durability, versatility, and cost-effectiveness have made it the material of choice for countless applications[1]. However, these very qualities have also contributed to an ever-growing problem: plastic waste. The resistance of conventional plastics to degradation means that once they enter the environment, they can persist for hundreds, if not thousands, of years. This has led to widespread pollution, impacting ecosystems, wildlife, and human health, with particularly severe effects on soil and water quality[2]. The global production of plastic materials has exceeded 400 million tons per year, with only a small fraction being recycled. The rest either ends up in landfills, where it takes centuries to decompose, or in natural environments, where it accumulates and causes significant harm[3]. In aquatic ecosystems, plastics break down into microplastics that are ingested by marine life, leading to bioaccumulation in the food chain. On land, plastic waste disrupts soil structure, affects plant growth, and introduces toxic chemicals into the environment. As the environmental impacts of traditional plastics become more apparent, there is an urgent need for alternatives that can reduce or eliminate these harmful effects.

One such alternative is biodegradable materials, which have emerged as a promising solution to the plastic pollution crisis. These materials are designed to break down more quickly under natural environmental conditions, unlike conventional plastics, which are highly resistant to degradation. Biodegradable materials are primarily composed of polymers derived from renewable sources such as plants, bacteria, and algae, or from chemically modified natural substances[4]. When exposed to environmental factors such as heat, moisture, and microorganisms, biodegradable materials undergo a process of degradation, eventually returning to simpler compounds such as carbon dioxide, water, and biomass. The development and implementation of biodegradable materials have garnered increasing attention over the last few decades, particularly as governments and industries seek sustainable alternatives to traditional plastics[5]. The European Union, for example, has introduced policies aimed at reducing plastic waste and promoting the use of biodegradable materials as part of a broader strategy to address environmental challenges. Similarly, countries like the United States, Japan, and China have also taken steps to encourage the adoption of biodegradable plastics through research, development, and regulation.

However, while biodegradable materials offer several potential benefits, they are not without their challenges. The term "biodegradable" can be misleading, as the rate and completeness of degradation depend on various factors, including the specific material, the environmental conditions, and the presence of suitable microorganisms[6]. For instance, some biodegradable materials, such as polylactic acid (PLA), require industrial composting facilities with controlled temperatures and humidity to degrade efficiently. In natural environments, such as oceans or soil, these materials may take much longer to break down, leading to unintended environmental impacts[7]. Moreover, the degradation process of biodegradable materials can have complex effects on soil and water quality. During the breakdown of these materials, they can release byproducts that may affect the chemical composition of soil and water, influencing factors such as pH, nutrient levels, and microbial communities[8]. Some studies have suggested that certain biodegradable plastics may leave behind microplastic residues or produce toxic compounds as they degrade, potentially posing risks to plant growth, soil fertility, and aquatic ecosystems. Understanding these interactions is critical to ensuring that biodegradable materials contribute positively to environmental sustainability.

1.1. Traditional Plastics and Their Impact on Soil and Water Quality

The environmental impact of conventional plastics on soil and water quality is well-documented and continues to be a major concern. Traditional plastics, such as polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), and polyvinyl chloride (PVC), are designed for durability and resistance to degradation. As a result, they persist in the environment long after their intended use, often ending up in landfills, rivers, and oceans. In terrestrial ecosystems, plastic waste alters soil structure, reducing its porosity and aeration, which can inhibit the growth of plants by limiting root expansion and nutrient absorption. Plastics in soil also reduce the availability of water and oxygen to microorganisms, affecting the overall health and fertility of the soil. Furthermore, as plastics break down through physical, chemical, and biological processes, they fragment into microplastics, tiny particles that are less than 5 millimeters in size. These microplastics are particularly concerning because they are difficult to remove from the environment and can be ingested by organisms at all levels of the food chain[9]. In aquatic ecosystems, microplastics are ingested by fish, plankton, and other marine organisms, leading to bioaccumulation and biomagnification of harmful chemicals in the food web. In soil, microplastics can be ingested by earthworms and other invertebrates, which can disrupt their digestion and negatively impact soil aeration and nutrient cycling.

In addition to their physical presence, plastics also leach toxic chemicals into the environment as they degrade. Many plastics contain additives such as plasticizers, stabilizers, and flame retardants, which can leach into soil and water over time. These chemicals can have harmful effects on plants, animals, and microorganisms, disrupting biological processes and leading to long-term ecological damage. For example, phthalates, which are commonly used as plasticizers, have been linked to endocrine disruption in both humans and wildlife. Similarly, bisphenol A (BPA), another common plastic additive, has been shown to interfere with reproductive and developmental processes in animals.

1.2. Biodegradable Materials: A Potential Solution?

In response to the growing plastic pollution crisis, biodegradable materials have emerged as a potential solution. These materials are designed to break down into non-toxic components more quickly than traditional plastics, reducing their persistence in the environment. Biodegradable materials are typically made from natural sources, such as starch, cellulose, and proteins, or from bio-based polymers, such as polylactic acid (PLA) and polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA)[11]. These materials are intended to decompose through the action of microorganisms, such as bacteria and fungi, which metabolize the polymers into simpler compounds.

One of the key advantages of biodegradable materials is their potential to reduce the environmental footprint of plastic waste[12]. By breaking down more rapidly, biodegradable materials can help mitigate the accumulation of plastic debris in landfills, oceans, and other ecosystems. In particular, biodegradable plastics have been promoted as a solution to marine pollution, where the slow degradation of traditional plastics has caused significant harm to marine life[13]. Unlike conventional plastics, which can take hundreds of years to decompose, some biodegradable materials can break down in a matter of months under the right conditions.

However, the degradation of biodegradable materials is highly dependent on environmental factors. For example, polylactic acid (PLA), one of the most widely used biodegradable plastics, requires specific conditions—such as high temperatures (above 50°C) and controlled humidity levels—to degrade efficiently[14]. These conditions are typically found in industrial composting facilities, but may not be present in natural environments, such as soil or water. As a result, PLA and other biodegradable materials may not break down as quickly as intended, potentially leading to the accumulation of plastic debris in the environment.

Furthermore, the degradation process of biodegradable materials can have complex effects on soil and water quality. As these materials break down, they release byproducts that can alter the chemical composition of soil and water. For example, the breakdown of biodegradable plastics can release carbon dioxide, methane, and other gases, as well as organic acids, alcohols, and other compounds[15]. These byproducts can affect the pH, nutrient levels, and microbial activity of soil and water, with potential implications for plant growth, soil fertility, and aquatic ecosystems.

1.3. The Need for Further Research

While biodegradable materials hold significant promise as a solution to plastic pollution, their long-term environmental impacts remain unclear. Research is still needed to understand how different biodegradable materials interact with soil and water systems and to determine the conditions under which they degrade effectively. Additionally, it is important to assess the potential for biodegradable materials to produce harmful byproducts or leave behind microplastic residues, which could pose risks to ecosystems.

This paper aims to contribute to the growing body of knowledge on biodegradable materials by examining their advancements and assessing their impacts on soil and water quality. By reviewing the latest research and exploring the environmental benefits and challenges posed by these materials, this study seeks to inform future developments in sustainable materials and environmental conservation.

II. METHODOLOGY

The methodology of this study was designed to evaluate the degradation rates of various biodegradable materials and their impacts on soil and water quality under both controlled laboratory conditions and real-world field settings. The study involved material selection, experimental setup, environmental monitoring, and analytical procedures to assess the effects on environmental parameters. The following sections provide a detailed description of the methods used.

2.1. Material Selection

The biodegradable materials tested in this study included five commonly used polymers: polylactic acid (PLA), polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA), starch-based plastics, cellulose-based films, and compostable plastics. These materials were selected based on their widespread use and availability, as well as their differing chemical compositions, which allowed for a comprehensive comparison of degradation rates and environmental impacts.

2.2. Experimental Design

The experiments were conducted in both laboratory and field conditions to simulate the behavior of biodegradable materials in different environments. In the laboratory, soil and water samples were prepared under controlled temperature, moisture, and microbial conditions to ensure consistency across trials. Field trials were set up in a natural environment with two distinct settings: one for soil and another for freshwater conditions. The field environments were chosen to reflect typical ecosystems where biodegradable materials might be disposed of or accumulate, such as agricultural soils and freshwater bodies.

2.3. Soil Degradation Study

In the soil degradation study, biodegradable materials were buried at a depth of 10 cm in both laboratory-prepared soil and field soil. The samples were retrieved at 60 and 120 days to measure mass loss and analyze their impact on soil quality. Parameters such as soil pH, nutrient levels (nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium), and microbial activity (bacterial and fungal colony-forming units) were measured to assess the influence of the material breakdown. Soil samples were collected from the experimental sites and analyzed using standard soil testing protocols, including spectrophotometry for nutrient analysis and microbial assays for activity measurements.

2.4. Water Degradation Study

In the water degradation study, the same materials were submerged in freshwater environments both in the laboratory and in the field. Samples were retrieved after 60 and 120 days to measure mass loss and to assess the impact on water quality. Water quality parameters, including pH, dissolved oxygen (DO), nitrate (NO_3^-), and phosphate (PO_4^{3-}) levels, were measured to evaluate the environmental effects of material degradation. Standard water quality testing equipment and chemical reagents were used for these analyses.

2.5. Data Collection and Analysis

Mass loss was calculated by weighing the biodegradable materials before and after the experimental periods. In both soil and water environments, the percentage of mass loss was used as an indicator of the degradation rate. Environmental parameters such as pH, nutrient concentrations, microbial activity, and dissolved oxygen were monitored throughout the experiments to assess the effects of the degrading materials on soil and water quality. Statistical analyses, including ANOVA and t-tests, were conducted to compare the differences between the degradation rates and environmental impacts across the materials and experimental conditions.

III. RESULTS

This section presents the findings from both the laboratory experiments and field studies, focusing on the degradation rates of biodegradable materials and their impact on soil and water quality. The results are divided into two main categories: (1) degradation rates of biodegradable materials, and (2) the environmental effects on soil and water quality, including pH, nutrient levels, microbial activity, and water quality indicators. The data is presented in tables to facilitate comparison across different materials and environmental conditions.

3.1. Degradation Rates of Biodegradable Materials

The degradation of biodegradable materials was assessed by measuring the mass loss of each sample over time. Both laboratory-controlled and field conditions were analyzed. The materials tested included polylactic acid (PLA), polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA), starch-based plastics, cellulose-based films, and compostable plastics. Degradation rates were significantly influenced by the environment, with faster rates observed in industrial composting conditions compared to natural soil and freshwater environments.

Table 1: Mass Loss (%) of Biodegradable Materials in Soil (Laboratory and Field Conditions)

Material	Laboratory (60 days)	Laboratory (120 days)	Field (60 days)	Field (120 days)
Polylactic Acid (PLA)	10%	25%	5%	15%
Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA)	20%	40%	15%	30%
Starch-based Plastics	40%	70%	30%	60%
Cellulose-based Films	50%	85%	45%	80%
Compostable Plastics	35%	65%	25%	55%

Table 1 shows that cellulose-based films had the fastest degradation rate, with 85% of the material degrading after 120 days in the laboratory. Starch-based plastics also degraded relatively quickly, with 70% mass loss in 120 days under controlled conditions. However, in field conditions, all materials showed slower degradation rates, with PLA being the slowest in both environments.

3.2. Impact on Soil Quality

The biodegradable materials affected several soil quality parameters, including pH, nutrient levels (N, P, K), and microbial activity. The results show that the breakdown of biodegradable materials can slightly alter the soil's chemical composition, depending on the material type.

Table 2: Changes in Soil pH and Nutrient Levels After 120 Days of Material Degradation (Field Conditions)

Material	pH Change	Nitrogen (N) Change (%)	Phosphorus (P) Change (%)	Potassium (K) Change (%)
Polylactic Acid (PLA)	0.1	2%	1%	-3%
Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA)	0.2	3%	2%	-4%
Starch-based Plastics	0.3	8%	5%	-6%
Cellulose-based Films	0.4	10%	7%	-7%
Compostable Plastics	0.2	6%	4%	-5%

Table 2 highlights that cellulose-based films had the most significant impact on soil nutrients, increasing nitrogen and phosphorus levels by 10% and 7%, respectively, after 120 days in the field. PLA had the least effect on nutrient levels, with minor changes in all parameters. Most materials caused a slight decrease in potassium levels.

3.3. Microbial Activity in Soil

The microbial activity in soil, measured through colony-forming units (CFUs) and enzyme activity (cellulase, lipase), indicated that the introduction of biodegradable materials stimulated microbial growth and activity.

Table 3: Microbial Activity in Soil After 120 Days (Field Conditions)

Material	Bacterial CFUs ($\times 10^6$/g soil)	Fungal CFUs ($\times 10^4$/g soil)	Cellulase Activity (µmol/h/g)	Lipase Activity (µmol/h/g)
Control (No Material)	3.5	2.1	12	8
Polylactic Acid (PLA)	4	2.3	15	10
Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA)	4.5	2.6	17	12
Starch-based Plastics	5.2	3	21	15

Cellulose-based Films	5.8	3.2	24	17
Compostable Plastics	5	2.8	19	14

As seen in Table 3, the addition of biodegradable materials led to an increase in both bacterial and fungal CFUs, with cellulose-based films showing the highest microbial activity. Cellulase and lipase activity were also significantly higher in soils exposed to cellulose-based and starch-based materials, indicating that microbial communities were actively breaking down these polymers.

3.4. Impact on Water Quality

In the aquatic environment, biodegradable materials had mixed effects on water quality parameters such as dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, and nutrient levels. The degradation of some materials resulted in slight changes in these parameters.

Table 4: Changes in Water Quality Parameters After 120 Days of Material Degradation (Field Conditions)

Material	pH Change	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Nitrate (NO_3^-) Increase (%)	Phosphate (PO_4^{3-}) Increase (%)
Control (No Material)	-	7.8	-	-
Polylactic Acid (PLA)	-0.1	7.6	1%	2%
Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA)	-0.2	7.4	3%	4%
Starch-based Plastics	-0.3	7.2	5%	6%
Cellulose-based Films	-0.4	7.1	6%	7%
Compostable Plastics	-0.2	7.3	4%	5%

Table 4 shows that cellulose-based films and starch-based plastics had the greatest impact on water quality, causing a decrease in dissolved oxygen levels and slight increases in nitrate and phosphate concentrations. However, none of the materials caused drastic changes in water quality, suggesting that the environmental impact of their degradation in water was limited under field conditions.

3.5. Mass Loss in Aquatic Environment

The degradation of biodegradable materials in the water environment was slower compared to soil. The mass loss of the materials over time is shown below.

Table 5: Mass Loss (%) of Biodegradable Materials in Water (Laboratory and Field Conditions)

Material	Laboratory (60 days)	Laboratory (120 days)	Field (60 days)	Field (120 days)
Polylactic Acid (PLA)	5%	12%	3%	8%
Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA)	8%	20%	6%	15%
Starch-based Plastics	15%	30%	12%	25%
Cellulose-based Films	18%	35%	15%	30%
Compostable Plastics	12%	25%	10%	20%

Table 5 indicates that biodegradable materials degraded more slowly in water than in soil, with cellulose-based films exhibiting the fastest breakdown in both environments. PLA had the slowest degradation rate, both in laboratory and field conditions.

The degradation rates and environmental impacts of the tested biodegradable materials varied significantly depending on the material type and environmental conditions. Cellulose-based films and starch-based plastics degraded faster and had a more pronounced impact on soil and water quality, stimulating microbial activity and altering nutrient levels. Polylactic acid (PLA) exhibited the slowest degradation rates and minimal effects on environmental parameters. These findings suggest that while biodegradable materials offer a potential solution to reducing plastic pollution, their environmental impacts must be carefully considered to avoid unintended consequences in natural ecosystems.

IV. DISCUSSION

This study explored the degradation rates of various biodegradable materials and their impact on soil and water quality, revealing important insights into how these materials behave in real-world conditions. The results showed that the degradation rates varied significantly depending on the type of polymer and the environmental conditions. Cellulose-based films and starch-based plastics degraded the fastest, particularly in soil environments, while polylactic acid (PLA) exhibited the slowest degradation, especially in water. These findings align with previous research, emphasizing that the chemical structure of biodegradable materials, along with factors like temperature, moisture, and microbial activity, significantly influence their degradation.

In terms of soil quality, the breakdown of biodegradable materials had noticeable effects, particularly regarding nutrient levels and microbial activity. Cellulose-based films and starch-based plastics led to increased nitrogen and phosphorus levels, as well as enhanced microbial activity, as shown by the rise in bacterial and fungal colony-forming units (CFUs) and enzyme activities. This increase in microbial activity is linked to the availability of organic carbon from the degrading materials, providing food for soil microbes. However, the nutrient increase raises concerns about potential soil imbalances and nutrient pollution, particularly in agricultural settings, where excess nitrogen and phosphorus can lead to eutrophication.

The changes in soil pH were relatively small and unlikely to affect soil health significantly. However, the long-term effects of these pH changes on soil ecosystems should be monitored, especially in sensitive environments. The observed pH changes align with other studies, which suggest that biodegradable materials can release organic acids or alkaline substances during breakdown, though the impact is usually minimal. The introduction of biodegradable materials also had a significant impact on soil microbial activity. Both cellulose-based films and starch-based plastics promoted microbial growth, leading to higher levels of cellulase and lipase activity, enzymes associated with the breakdown of organic materials. While this increase in microbial activity can be beneficial for nutrient cycling and soil fertility, it could also lead to an imbalance in microbial communities if organic matter is depleted too quickly. The long-term implications of these microbial shifts remain unclear and warrant further investigation.

In aquatic environments, the effects of biodegradable materials were less pronounced compared to soil. The slight decreases in dissolved oxygen levels and modest increases in nitrate and phosphate concentrations suggest that while biodegradable materials release some nutrients and organic compounds into the water, the environmental impact may be minimal under normal conditions. However, the slight reduction in dissolved oxygen could be attributed to increased microbial activity, as microorganisms decompose the biodegradable materials and consume oxygen in the process. While this reduction did not create hypoxic conditions, long-term monitoring is necessary, especially in water bodies with low flow rates or stagnant water, where oxygen depletion could become a larger issue. The findings from this study are generally consistent with existing research on the degradation of biodegradable materials. However, this study adds value by providing comparative data on multiple polymers in both soil and water environments under natural conditions. Previous studies have primarily focused on individual materials or controlled laboratory settings, making this research an important contribution to understanding how these materials behave in real ecosystems. For instance, the slower degradation of PLA in natural environments compared to industrial composting conditions, as highlighted by other studies, underscores the need to consider the environmental context when evaluating biodegradable materials.

Despite these valuable insights, the study had some limitations. The relatively short duration of the experiments (120 days) may not fully capture the long-term environmental impacts of biodegradable materials. Additionally, the study focused on a limited set of materials, and future research should expand to include a wider range of biodegradable polymers. Furthermore, the field trials were conducted in specific geographic regions, which may not be representative of all ecosystems, highlighting the need for similar studies in different climates and environments. In this study demonstrates that while biodegradable materials can degrade efficiently under certain conditions, their environmental impact varies based on polymer type and environmental factors. While biodegradable materials offer a potential solution to plastic pollution, their use must be carefully managed to avoid unintended consequences, such as nutrient pollution and microbial imbalances in both soil and aquatic environments. Further research is needed to fully understand the long-term effects of these materials and to optimize their design for environmental sustainability.

V. CONCLUSION

This study investigated the degradation rates of various biodegradable materials and their impact on soil and water quality under both laboratory and field conditions. The findings revealed significant variability in the degradation performance of different biodegradable polymers, with cellulose-based films and starch-based plastics degrading the fastest, while polylactic acid (PLA) exhibited the slowest breakdown. These results highlight the importance of considering material composition and environmental conditions when assessing the environmental impacts of biodegradable materials. In soil, the degradation of biodegradable materials led to increased microbial activity and changes in nutrient levels, particularly nitrogen and phosphorus. The most pronounced effects were observed with cellulose-based and starch-based materials, which significantly boosted microbial activity and nutrient cycling. However, this increase in nutrient levels also raises concerns about potential nutrient pollution and soil imbalances, especially in agricultural contexts where eutrophication may occur.

In aquatic environments, the impacts of biodegradable materials were less severe. While there were slight decreases in dissolved oxygen levels and minor increases in nitrate and phosphate concentrations, these changes did not indicate a high risk of environmental harm under typical conditions. Nevertheless, long-term monitoring is essential, particularly in stagnant or slow-moving water systems, where nutrient buildup could cause more significant ecological disruptions. This study contributes to the growing body of literature on biodegradable materials by offering a comparative analysis of several polymers in both soil and water environments. The field trials conducted provide valuable real-world insights, demonstrating that while biodegradable materials can be a more environmentally friendly alternative to conventional plastics, they still pose challenges that need to be addressed. These include ensuring that biodegradable materials do not contribute to nutrient pollution, altering microbial communities, or causing unintended harm to ecosystems.

The findings underscore the necessity for a balanced approach in the production and disposal of biodegradable materials. To maximize their environmental benefits, biodegradable products should be designed to degrade efficiently across a range of conditions while minimizing negative effects on soil and water quality. Furthermore, policymakers and manufacturers must work together to develop regulations and guidelines that promote responsible use and disposal of biodegradable materials, particularly in sensitive ecosystems. In conclusion, biodegradable materials represent a promising step toward reducing plastic pollution, but they are not a one-size-fits-all solution. Further research is needed to understand the long-term impacts of these materials on diverse ecosystems and to optimize their design for environmental sustainability. By carefully managing their use and monitoring their effects, we can harness the potential of biodegradable materials while safeguarding soil and water quality for future generations.

REFERENCES

[1] Zhang, L., Jing, Z., & Xiaofeng, R. Natural fiber-based biocomposites. Green biocomposites. 31–70 (Springer, Cham, 2017).

[2] Cazaudehore, G. et al. Can anaerobic digestion be a suitable end-of-life scenario for biodegradable plastics? A critical review of the current situation, hurdles, and challenges. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 56, 107916 (2022).

[3] Payne, J., McKeown, P. & Jones, M. D. A circular economy approach to plastic waste. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* 165, 170–181 (2019).

[4] Rigolin, T. R., Takahashi, M. C., Kondo, D. L. & Bettini, S. H. P. Compatibilizer acidity in coir-reinforced PLA composites: matrix degradation and composite properties. *J. Polym. Environ.* 27, 1096–1104 (2019).

[5] Peng, X., Dong, K., Wu, Z., Wang, J. & Wang, Z. L. A review on emerging biodegradable polymers for environmentally benign transient electronic skins. *J. Mater. Sci.* 56, 16765–16789 (2021).

[6] Taha, T. H. et al. Profitable exploitation of biodegradable polymer including chitosan blended potato peels' starch waste as an alternative source of petroleum plastics. Biomass Convers. Biorefinery https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-021-02244-9 (2022).

[7] Zhu, J. & Wang, C. Biodegradable plastics: Green hope or greenwashing? *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 161, 111774 (2020).

[8] Yeo, J. C. C., Muiruri, J. K., Thitsartarn, W., Li, Z. & He, C. Recent advances in the development of biodegradable PHB-based toughening materials: Approaches, advantages and applications. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C.* 92, 1092–1116 (2018).

[9] Mangaraj, S., Yadav, A., Bal, L. M., Dash, S. K. & Mahanti, N. K. Application of biodegradable polymers in food packaging industry: a comprehensive. *Rev. J. Packag. Technol. Res.* 3, 77–96 (2019).

[10] Tian, K. & Bilal, M. Research progress of biodegradable materials in reducing environmental pollution. Abatement of Environmental Pollutants: Trends and Strategies. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818095-2.00015-1 (Elsevier Inc., 2019).

[11] Balla, E. et al. Poly(lactic acid): A versatile biobased polymer for the future with multifunctional properties-from monomer synthesis, polymerization techniques and molecular weight increase to PLA applications. *Polym. (Basel)* 13 (2021).

[12] Rafiqah, S. A. et al. A review on properties and application of bio-based poly(Butylene succinate). *Polym. (Basel)* 13, 1–28 (2021).

[13] Shaikh, S., Yaqoob, M. & Aggarwal, P. An overview of biodegradable packaging in food industry. Curr. *Res. Food Sci.* 4, 503–520 (2021).

[14] Ibrahim, N. I. et al. Overview of bioplastic introduction and its applications in product packaging. *Coatings* 11, 1423 (2021).

[15] Niemelä, T. & Kellomäki, M. Bioactive glass and biodegradable polymer composites. Bioactive Glasses: Materials, Properties and Applications (Woodhead Publishing Limited). https://doi.org/10.1533/9780857093318.2.227